Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/790,664	ESPOSITO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory R. Del Cotto	1751
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Gregory R. Del Cotto.	(3)	
(2) Arthur J. Planamura.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 September 2005	Time: <u>2:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed:		•
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant agreed to insert the limitations from claims 3 and 5 into claim 1. Additionally, agreed to cancel claims 3 and 5 and make any other additional changes as indicated in the attached Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
ala not result in resolution of all issues. It blief summary by the six in appears in it are it above.		
AN MACH		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		